

January 11, 2011

## **VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL**

William M. Outhier Riley Warnock & Jacobsen, PLC 1906 West End Avenue Nashville, TN 37203

**RE:** MUR 6325

Dear Mr. Outhier:

On July 13, 2010, the Federal Election Commission notified Hartline for Congress 2010 and Phillip W. Meadows, in his official capacity as treasurer ("the Committee"), of a complaint filed against them alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("Act"). On January 3, 201 i based upon the information contained in the complaint, and information provided by you, the Commission decided to dismiss the complaint and close its file in this matter.

The Commission encourages the Committee to review the General Counsel's Report, which sets forth the statutory and regulatory provisions considered by the Commission in this matter. A copy of the dispositive General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information and future reference. The Commission reminds the Committee, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1) concerning the inclusion of appropriate disclaimers on yard signs and billboards, to take steps to ensure that its conduct is in compliance with the Art and Commission regulations. For further information on the Act, please refer to the Commission's website at <a href="https://www.fec.gov">www.fec.gov</a> or contact the Commission's Public Information Division at (202) 694-1100.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003).

Page 2

If you have any questions, please contact Donald E. Campbell, the paralegal assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hughey

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jett S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney

Complaints Examination and

Legal Administration

**Enclosure:** 

General Counsel's Report

cc: Jeffrey A. Hartline

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	RECEIVED REC		
9	JETRET A. IPACIENT		
10	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
11	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated		
12	are		
13	forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The Commission has		
14	determined that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other higher-rated matters on the		
15	Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss these		
16	cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6325 as a low-rated matter.		
17	The complainant, William Vernon Frederick, states that Hartline for Congress 2010		
18	and Phillip W. Meadows, in his official capacity as treasurer ("the Committee"), and Jeffrey		
19	A. Hartline (collectively, "respondents"), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971,		
20	as amended ("Act"), and Commission regulations, by failing to include disclaimers on		
21	"multiple campaign billboards" and "campaign yard signs" during Mr. Hartline's		
22	congressional campaign, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and		
23	(b)(1). Appended to the complaint are what appear to be photographs of two billboards,		
24	which include the text "Jeff Hartline Congress 2010. A New Voice for the People.		
25	HartlineForCongress.com," but do not include a disclaimer stating that the Committee had		
26	paid for them. In addition, the complaint includes a photograph of what appears to be a		

Mr. Hartline was an unsuccessful candidate for Congress from Tennessee's 5th Gongressional District.

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6325 General Counsel's Report Page 2

- 1 Hartline yard sign, the text of which reads: "JEFF HARTLINE, CONGRESS 2010, A NEW
- 2 VOICE FOR THE PEOPLE, www.hartlineforcongress.com." Like the Hartline campaign
- 3 billboard, the yard sign lacks a disclaimer stating that the Committee had paid for it.
- David R. Shepherd, the Committee's campaign manager, and William M. Outhier, the
- 5 respondents' designated counsel, filed submissions on behalf of the respondents. In
- 6 Mr. Shephard's response, he anknowledges that disclaimors had been left off "a portion of our
- 7 printed campaign communications—specifically, certain yard signs and one hillboard."2 He
- 8 asserts these omissions were inadvertent, and that "upon learning of this oversight," the
- 9 Committee took the following remedial actions: printing disclaimer labels and affixing them
- to all yard signs; contacting the vendor to have disclaimer information added to the billboard;
- and implementing revised review and approval procedures for all future printed campaign
- 12 materials.
- Mr. Outhier's response asserts that the yard signs and billboard included identifying
- 14 information—specifically, the Committee's website address—but acknowledges that some of
- 15 the Committee's yard signs and billboards lacked the requisite disclaimers for approximately
- one paonth. However, uram learning of the amissions, the Committee, according to
- 17 Mr. Outhier, took immediate corrective action by printing stickers bearing the following
- 18 statement "Paid for by Hartline for Congress 2010, Phil Meadows, Treasurer." Next, the
- 19 Committee affixed the "disclaimer stickers" to all of the approximately 379 campaign signs
- 20 still in its campaign office, and was able to locate and correct approximately 821 additional

The complaint alleges that "multiple campaign billboards" lacked disclaimers, while Mr. Shepherd's response refers to only one billboard. The response submitted by respondents' counsel clarifies the discrepancy. Respondents had placed a campaign advertisement on a billboard located at 4114 Hillsboard Road, Nashville, TN, and, in addition, had advertised on an electronic billboard located at 2922 West End Avenue, Nashville, TN. While both billboards were addressed in the complaint, the latter had ceased displaying Hartline campaign advertisements as of July 15, 2010.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

in the field.

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6325 General Counsel's Report Page 3

signs, which Mr. Outhier estimates was at least 75-80% of the Hartline campaign's yard signs

Mr. Outhier also states that the Committee informed the Commission of the problem
and subsequent corrective action, and has sought to ensure its future compliance with the Act
and underlying Commission regulations by having legal counsel preview its public
communications. Further, Mr. Outhier points out that the Hartline campaign was
discontinued after Mr. Hartline was defeated in Tennessec's August 5, 2010 primary election.

billboards and yard signs, see 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a); see also 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a).

Furthermore, if such campaign material is paid for and authorized by a candidate's authorized committee, "the disclaimer must clearly state that the communication has been paid for by the authorized political committee." 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1). Respondents have conceded that disclaimers were necessary, and have indicated in their separate responses that the Committee

made efforts to remedy the initial absence of disclaimers by affixing new disclaimers to the

Political committee campaign materials that require disclaimers include, inter alia,

In light of the limited scope of the autivity and the respundents' swift remedial action, and in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney. 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, this Office intends on reminding Hartline for Congress 2010 and Phillip W. Meadows, in his official capacity as treasurer, of the

requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1) concerning the

23 use of appropriate disclaimers.

printed campaign communications at issue.

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6325 General Counsel's Report Page 4

1	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		
2	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6325,		
4	close the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office recommends that		
5	the Commission remind Hartline for Congress 2010 and Phillip W. Meadows, in his official		
6	capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a)		
7	and (b)(1) concerning the use of appropriate disclaimers.		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Date BY:	Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel  Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration  Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration  Ocne C. J. Capel  Donald E. Campbell	
31 32		Paralegal Specialist	
33			
34			
35			
36			